

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.waybi.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,653	08/05/2003	Randall Lashinski	PVI-5813CP2CP1CP1CON2	6365
30452 7550 051572008 EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION LEGAL DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER	
			MATTHEWS, WILLIAM H	
ONE EDWARDS WAY IRVINE, CA 92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/634.653 LASHINSKI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit William H. Matthews (Howie) 3774 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 December 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3.6 and 12-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3.6, and 12-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/634,653 Page 2

Art Unit: 3774

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,2,3,6,12-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Note Applicant failed to make claim 13 in proper independent form (which was previously indicated as allowable subject matter) because all limitations of intervening claim 12 were not included.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 13, final line, recites "the flexible member" which lacks proper antecedence and is indefinite because it appears the limitation refers to the flexible configuration recited in line 5.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

<sup>(</sup>e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent

Application/Control Number: 10/634,653

Art Unit: 3774

granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1,2,13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Alferness et al. US 2002/0169504 ("Alferness").

Alferness disclose in figures 1-4 a system for remodeling the mitral valve annulus comprising a catheter and implant having a first configuration and second remodeling configuration (paragraph 0049 describe Nitinol preformed shaped) and the catheter includes a control mechanism for selectively adjusting curvature (withdrawal of guide tube, or recapture of device paragraph 0063). The remodeling configuration comprises an arc (figure 2). Figures 3-4 show a rotational coupler meeting the structural limitations of claim 13 and capable of applying tension. Note as described above, the flexible member of claim 13 lacks specificity and is open to broad interpretation.

Claims 1,2,6, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Solem et al. US 2001/0018611 ("Solem").

Solem disclose in figures 2-6 and paragraphs 0048-0051 a system for remodeling the mitral valve annulus comprising a catheter and implant 8 having a first configuration (figure 5) and second remodeling configuration (paragraph 0050 when the sheath is retracted allowing hooks to penetrate tissue), and the catheter includes a control mechanism for selectively adjusting curvature (figure 6 where catheter 21 presses blades 18). The remodeling configuration comprises an arc (figure 3) and the device may include a coating (paragraph 0070). Rod 15 is readable upon the flexible

Application/Control Number: 10/634,653

Art Unit: 3774

member of claim 12 because the proximal end is attached to control mechanism 17,18 and the distal end 13 is attached to the distal end of the implant 8.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alferness et al. US 2002/0169504 or Solem et al. US 2001/0018611 as decribed above with respect to claim 1.

Each of Alferness and Solem meet the structural limitations of claim 3 as described above but each lack the express written disclosure of a radius within the range of about 10-20mm. However, each of Solem and Alferness are directed towards remodeling of a mitral valve annulus similar to that as applicant's device and each appear to show arcs having the claimed radii. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to select a device curvature of about 10-20mm in order to treat a particular patient's annulus deficiency.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/634,653

Art Unit: 3774

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Matthews (Howie) whose telephone number is 571-272-4753. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Isabella can be reached on 571-272-4749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,653 Page 6

Art Unit: 3774

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William H. Matthews/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3774